

8.1 Dynamic Programming

So far we've focused on a few algorithm design techniques: reduction, divide and conquer, and the greedy algorithm. This week we'll focus on another technique: *dynamic programming*.

Example 8.1.1. Fibonacci numbers

Let's create an algorithm that returns the n th Fibonacci number. A basic algorithm would look like this:

```

F(1) ← 1, F(2) ← 1
if  $n \leq 2$  then
  return 1
else
  return fibonacci( $n - 1$ ) + fibonacci( $n - 2$ )

```

Note that this algorithm has a run time of $T(n) = T(n - 1) + T(n - 2) + 1 \in O(2^n)$ — bad! Let's see if we can get more efficient:

```

F(1) ← 1, F(2) ← 1, F(i) = -1 for  $i > 2$ 
if  $F(n) < 0$  then
   $F(n) \leftarrow F(n - 1) + F(n - 2)$ 
return  $F(n)$ 

```

Notice every index is accessed at most once, which means that this algorithm has a run time of $O(n)$.

Now let's try something slightly different. Let's try a bottom-up approach to avoid having to compute $f(n - 1)$ and $f(n - 2)$:

```

F(1) ← 1, F(2) ← 1
for  $i = 3$  to  $n$  do
   $F(i) \leftarrow F(i - 1) + F(i - 2)$ 
return  $f(n)$ 

```

This last example took a *dynamic programming* approach. Let's move on to more real examples now.

8.1.1 Weighted Interval Scheduling

Suppose we have times intervals that are requesting access to a meeting room. These intervals have a priority (weight) w_i . Given n intervals, return a set S of disjoint intervals that maximize $\sum_{i \in S} w_i$. We can also assume

our intervals (s_i, f_i) are sorted by finish time f_i .

Let $D(k)$ be the weight of the optimal scheduling of the first k intervals. Now, we need to determine the recurrence relation that computes $D(k)$. Observe that $D(k)$ may or may not contain the interval (s_k, f_k) (i.e., the last interval out of our k intervals), so we try both cases:

- **CASE 1:** (s_k, f_k) is in the optimal solution.

In this case, let ℓ_k be the largest integer such that $f_{\ell_k} \leq s_k$ (i.e., the last interval that finishes earlier than s_k). Then the optimal solution consists of the optimal solution of $1, \dots, \ell_k$ and (s_k, f_k) . In other words, $D(k) = D(\ell_k) + w_k$

- **CASE 2:** (s_k, f_k) is not in the optimal solution.

In this case, the optimal solution of the first k intervals is equal to the optimal solution of the first $k - 1$ intervals. In other words, $D(k) = D(k - 1)$.

The larger of these two cases provides the optimal solution:

$$D(k) = \max\{D(\ell_k) + w_k, D(k - 1)\}$$

Now we have a recurrence relation for our algorithm. With it, we can solve our problem:

1. Sort the intervals according to finish time
2. Compute ℓ_k for each k
3. Set $D(0) = 0$

And our algorithm will look like this:

```

for  $k = 1$  to  $n$  do
     $D(k) \leftarrow \max\{D(\ell_k) + w_k, D(k - 1)\}$ 
print  $D(k)$ 

```

Steps 1 and 2 will take $O(n \log n)$ time (for step 2, you perform binary search for every item), and step 4 will take $O(n)$ time, so our total run time is $O(n \log n)$.

Notice that $D(n)$ returns the max weight, but it doesn't output the actual *schedule*! For that, we perform *backtracking*.

Backtracking Algorithm

```

 $k \leftarrow n$ 
while  $k \geq 0$  do
    if  $D(k) == D(\ell_k) + w_k$  then
        print  $k$ 
         $k \leftarrow \ell_k$ 
    else
         $k \leftarrow k - 1$ 

```

8.1.2 Change Making

In this problem, we have coins with face value d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k . Given a total sum of n , we want to determine

the number of coins c_i that we should use: $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i \cdot d_i = n$ and we want to minimize $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i$.

Let's define $D(i)$ as the minimum number of coins adding up to n . If $n > 0$, then there exists at least one such coin d_i that is used in the optimal solution. We do not know i , so we try all of them:

$$D(n) = 1 + \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} D(n - d_i)$$

The algorithm using dynamic programming would then look like this:

```
 $D(0) \leftarrow 0$   
for  $j = 1$  to  $n$  do  
     $D(j) = 1 + \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} D(j - d_i)$   
print  $D(n)$ 
```
